

days after the taking thereof.—And if taken *above* forty miles from *London* and *Westminster*, then within twenty days after the *caption*, unless all the judges shall be on their circuits, and then as soon as any one of them shall return to *London*. *E. 5 W. & M.* and *Hil. 6 Geo. 1.*

When the bail-piece is to be filed after transmission.] After the bail-piece is transmitted, it must be forthwith filed with the proper officer, (*i. e.* either filazer or prothonotary, as the case shall be) to be by him entered upon record, otherwise it shall be as no bail, and the plaintiff is at liberty to proceed on the sheriff's bond as if no such bail had been put in; and the defendant, in case he be admissible to plead to the original action, shall not be admitted so to do, unless he first pay the *full* costs to the plaintiff for the prosecution on the bail-bond, and plead as of the time when the bail should have been duly entered. *Rule Hil. 6 Geo. 1.*

(a) The fees are now left with the proper officer.

Bails taken before commissioners in the country, and transmitted to, and allowed by a judge of this court, shall be delivered to the judge's clerk, who shall allow the said bail, which clerk shall *take* (a) the fees due to the proper officer for the entry thereof, and shall forthwith deliver the said bail to be filed, and pay the said fees to such proper officer. *Rule M. 13 Geo. 1.*

Bail taken before commissioners in the country shall be transmitted, and filed with the proper officer, according to the *Rule Hil. 6 Geo. 1.* and no such bail shall be *received* or *filed* unless transmitted within the respective times appointed

ed by the said rule, *without leave of the court* (a). (a) If bail taken before commissioners be not filed in time, application must be made to the court, the judges in the treasury will not give leave to file the bail-piece, the rule of *M. 6 Geo. 2.* saying "it shall not be filed *without leave of the court.*"

Excepting against bail.

IN what cases.] In all cases wherein bail-bonds are taken, and the *same* bail is put in above, plaintiff may except against it (b). Rule (b) Before the making of this Rule the plaintiff could not except against such bail. *Vide Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 61.*

N O T E S.

1. Bail to the sheriff, being bail above, may be excepted against, and for want of *addition* or *justification* plaintiff may take an assignment of the bail-bond. *Hil. 7 Geo. 2. Ormond, assignee of the sheriff, v. Griffith, 1 Barnes's Notes 51.*

2. Where the same bail are put in above as to the sheriff and excepted to, taking an assignment of the bail-bond is not a waiver of the exception. The assignment does not admit the sufficiency of the bail, the sheriff may be insufficient, and then if plaintiff cannot proceed on the bail-bond, he has no remedy. *E. 15 Geo. 2. Claxton, assignee of the sheriff, v. Hyde, and his bail, 2 Barnes's Notes 63.*

When bail cannot be excepted against.] Bail cannot be excepted against after declaration delivered, unless it be delivered *de bene*.—A demand

mand of a plea is a waiver of the exception, tho' declaration be delivered *de bene esse*. Vide the note 1. this page.

N O T E S.

1. Plaintiff excepted against the bail, and for want of a justification in time proceeded upon the bail-bond. A declaration was delivered in the *original* action, after the time for putting in bail expired, as a declaration *de bene esse*. *Per cur'*: This no waiver of the exception. Proceedings *on the bail-bond not to stay*. But held the *demand of plea* to be a waiver of the exception; 'tis admitting defendant to be in court, and in a condition to plead, and for this reason proceedings were stayed on the bail-bond. T. 16 & 17 Geo. 2. *Lister v. Wainhouse*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 66.

2. Exception against the bail. Declaration delivered, but not *de bene esse*, therefore defendant insisted that plaintiff had accepted the bail: But it appearing that by a judge's order, ten days time had been given defendant to perfect bail, plaintiff to declare without prejudice, defendant to rejoin *gratis*, and to take notice of trial for the last sitting within last *Michaelmas* term, in consequence of which order issue was joined, the cause entered, made a *remanet*, and tried at the sitting last *Michaelmas* term, when plaintiff had a verdict; the rule to shew cause why plaintiff should not strike out the exception against the bail was discharged. E. 25 Geo. 2. *Mayo v. Weaver*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 90.

3. Defendant became bail to the sheriff for the appearance of *W. R.* at the return of the writ. Afterwards, without any compleat justification, plaintiff delivers his declaration *generally*,
and

and proceeded to issue, trial and judgment, and then brings this action; to which defendant pleaded *comperuit ad diem*; and in order to maintain this plea, *cur'* was moved for leave to strike out the exception on the bail-piece, that it might be filed, insisting that the declaring *generally* was a waiver of the exception. *Cur'*: Let them shew cause. *Hil. 12 Geo. 2. Anon. MS. notes.—Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 155. Walsh, assignee of the sheriff of Middlesex, v. Haddeck*, S. C. says, bail was filed above, but excepted to, and that exception entered on the bail-piece.

Within what time bail must be excepted against when put in before a judge.] If bail be put in before a *judge*, exception must be entered in twenty days after notice of putting it in, and not afterwards.

N O T E.

Bail put in before a judge before the arrest and return of the *capias*, and notice thereof given to plaintiff's attorney, who notwithstanding arrested defendant on the *capias*; and he being in custody, moved for a *supersedeas*. On shewing cause, it appearing that plaintiff had not excepted against the bail within twenty days after notice thereof, *Cur'* held that the bail ought to stand, and rule for a *supersedeas* was made absolute.—*Hil. 12 Geo. 2. Huggins v. Bambridge*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 82.—*Pract. Reg. in C. P. 50. S. C.*

Within what time bail must be excepted against if put in before a *commissioner*.] Exception in such case is to be made within twenty days after the bail-piece is transmitted,

and notice to the plaintiff or his attorney of taking thereof (a). Rule 5 *W. & M.*

(a) The rule 5 *W. & M.* says, That the plaintiff's attorney shall be at liberty to repair to the commissioner's book for the names of the bail, to the end that he may enquire of the sufficiency of them, and if they are found insufficient, he may except against them within twenty days after the said bail is transmitted, and notice to the plaintiff or his attorney of the taking thereof: And in that case the defendant must either put in better bail, or the cognizers of such bail must justify themselves in open court, either by affidavit taken before such commissioner that took the said bail, or by oath made in court, or before one of the judges of the said court.

How to except against bail.] Exception against bail is made in *London* and *Middlesex*, in the filazer's or prothonotary's bail-book, or on bail-piece; but in other counties on the bail-piece with the commissioner *before* it is transmitted, and *afterwards*, in the filazer's or prothonotary's book, or on the bail-piece, as the case is.

N O T E S.

1. Exception to bail put in before a judge, must not only be entered in the filazer's book, or on the bail-piece, but notice of it must be given in writing to defendant's attorney, or it is not sufficient. Proceedings on bail-bond stayed. *M. 15 Geo. 2. Satchwell v. Lawes, 2 Barnes's Notes 61.—Ibid. 83. E. 23 Geo. 2. Goswell, one, &c. v. Hunt*, both entry and notice are necessary, *per cur'*. Exception must be entered in the bail-book, or upon the bail-piece, for no exception by notice is good without that is first done. *Hil. 13 Geo. 1. Rep. and Cas. of Pract. 33.—Ibid. 55. M. 3 Geo. 2. Busby v. Walker*, S P. declared *per cur'* to be the standing rule of Practice.—

Pract.

Pract. Reg. in C. P. 77. Exception to bail must be marked on the bail-piece, as well as notice thereof in writing must be given to defendant.

2. If the same bail be put in above which the sheriff took, the plaintiff may except against them. Rule *M.* 6 *Geo.* 2. tho' before this rule he could not, but must have proceeded against the sheriff. *Vide Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 61.

Notice of exception.] To be given in writing by plaintiff's attorney to defendant's attorney or agent.

The form.

C. D. against *E. F.*

S I R,
I H A V E excepted against the bail put in for the defendant in this cause,

To Mr. *C. D.*
attorney for the defendant in the above cause.

Your's, &c.
C. P. by *S. S.*
attorney (or agent) for the plaintiff.

June 1758.

Perfecting bail.

W Ithin what time defendant must perfect his bail.] The bail, if excepted to, must justify in four days after notice of exception, or other bail must be added (*a*), who will justify within that time, without waiting for plaintiff's excepting to them, for he is not bound to except to additional bail. *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 81. *E.* 10 *Geo.* 2. *Gregory v. Gurden*, 2 s.

(a) Expence out of pocket of adding bail, filazer 5s. 4d. judge's clerk 1

Barnes's Notes 69. S. C. And in default of justifying

justifying as aforesaid, plaintiff may proceed on the bail-bond. *Vide* Rule T. 3 & 4 Geo. 2.

N. B. This rule must be understood to mean when exception is made, and *notice* thereof is given *in term*, for if *exception* and *notice* thereof is in *vacation*, and plaintiff will not be satisfied with a justification before a judge, the bail must justify within the first *four* days of the next term. *Vide* 2 *Barnes's Notes* 82. See also 1 *Barnes's Notes* 79.—*Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 78.

[Notice of justification.] Two days notice of justification in all cases must be given, *exclusive* of the day it is given; as if notice be given on a *Monday*, the bail may justify on the *Wednesday*. *Sunday* is not to be reckoned as one of the two days. Notice on the *Saturday* to justify on the *Monday* is bad, it must be *Tuesday*. *Vide* 2 *Barnes's Notes* 60.

The defendant's attorney must give notice of *adding* or *justifying*, or *both*, as the case shall be.

Notice of justifying bail.

A. B. against *C. D.*

S I R,

TAKE notice that *E. F.* of, &c. and *G. H.* of, &c. (as in the bail-piece) the bail put in for the defendant in this cause, will justify themselves in open court on *Saturday* next (a) as bail for the said defendant.

(a) Don't say "or so soon as counsel can be heard," as in other notices.

To Mr. ———,
attorney for the
plaintiff.

Your humble servant,

R. S.

attorney for the
defendant.

— day of — 1758.

Notice

Notice of bail added, and of justifying.

A. B. against C. D.

S I R,

C. S. of *Ec.* oilman, was this day before
 C. Mr. Justice ———, added to the bail
 already put in for the defendant in this cause;
 and on *Saturday* next the said C. S. and also *A. B.*
 one of the bail before put in for the said defen-
 dant in this cause, will justify themselves as bail
 in open court.

Your humble servant,

To Mr. *J. M.*
 attorney for the
 plaintiff.

R. L.

attorney for the
 defendant.

— day of — 1758.

Affidavit must be made of the service of the
 notice of justification.

The form thereof.

A. B. against C. D.

S I R,

I. L. clerk to Mr. *J. P.* attorney for the de-
 fendant in this cause, maketh oath, that he
 this deponent did on *Wednesday* last, being the
 ——— day of ——— instant, serve Mr. ———
 the attorney for the plaintiff in this cause,
 with the notice hereunto annexed, by deliver-
 ing a true copy of the said notice to the said
 Mr. ———.

J. L.

Sworn, *Ec.*

N O T E S.

1. *Cur'* declare that after this term the defendant should give notice of justifying bail two days before the day of justification, and that they would not indulge the defendant with any further time, it being an artifice to defeat the rule for obliging defendants to justify their bail in four days after exception taken, and is plainly getting two days. *E. 12 Geo. 2. Teale v. Cæstyre, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 80.*

2. *Per Cur'*: Two days notice of justification is the general rule in all cases. *Mich. 15 Geo. 2. Elton v. Mantwaring. Thomas against the same, 2 Barnes's Notes 60.* The defendant was in custody in *Monmouthshire. Vide Ibid. 83.*

3. Additional bail struck off upon justifying the bail first put in. *Mich. 6 Geo. 1. Husdays v. Boys, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 17.*

4. Motion to change one of the bail, he being a material witness, an affidavit was produced of notice, that *A. B.* would be added, and at the same time would justify. But *cur'* would not receive the motion, because the new intended bail was not actually added before the motion to justify. The defendant may add to a compleat bail without leave of the court. *Hil. 6 Geo. 2. Pinfold v. Eastbet, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 80.*

Where the bail must justify.] Bail put in before a judge must justify in person, and can't justify by affidavit. *Pract. Reg. in C. P. 81. M. 7 Geo. 2. Wingfield v. Goodrick.—1 Barnes's Notes 57. Hil. 8 Geo. 2. Cremer v. Bulman.* Justification of bail at a judge's chambers held not

not sufficient, and plaintiff may proceed on the bail-bond.—Justification before a judge is no justification but by plaintiff's consent. *Hil. 23 Geo. 2. Forwils, Esq; v. Grosvenor, 2 Barnes's Notes 82.*—Bail residing in the country, who had entered into a recognizance before a judge in town, on exception sent up an affidavit of sufficiency, and were permitted to justify by that affidavit, without attending *personally*. No opposition on plaintiff's part. *E. 23 Geo. 2. Hooper v. Comings, 2 Barnes's Notes 84.* Defendant may put in bail before a judge, and justify at the same time *de bene esse*, and for want of an exception within twenty days, the bail will become absolute. *2 Barnes's Notes 84. E. 23 Geo. 2. Price and another v. Street.*

But bail taken *before a commissioner* may justify themselves in court by affidavit taken before such commissioner, unless they live in *London* or *Westminster*, or within ten miles thereof. *Stat. 4 W. & M. c. 4. s. 2.*

Tho' bail taken before a judge must justify in court, yet by consent of plaintiff's attorney they may justify before a judge at his chambers.—You generally pay plaintiff's attorney *6 s. 8 d.* for his *consent* and *attendance*.

How to justify bail in court.] Get the proper officer to attend with the *bail-book* or *bail-piece*.—Have the bail ready in court. Move by a serjeant to justify by oath in court. Give the serjeant the affidavit of service of notice.

But if the bail be taken before a commissioner, and they justify themselves by affidavit taken either before a judge of this court, or the commissioner that took the bail, the affidavit may be read in court for justification. *E. 5 W. & M.* Note;

Note; when bail is taken in the country, an affidavit of justification is usually annexed to the bail-piece, and then exception is seldom taken; but if it be, the affidavit of justification may be produced either in court, or by consent, before a *judge* at his chambers, for justification.

When defendant's attorney or agent gives notice to plaintiff's attorney or agent of the bail being put in, 'tis usual, to prevent exception, to send him a copy of the affidavit of justification along with the notice.

The expence out of pocket of justifying bail in court. Affidavit of notice 2s. 6d. serjeant's fee 10s. 6d. filazer 5s. 4d. secondary 1s. Cryers 2s. 6d. In all 1l. 1s. 10d.

N O T E S.

1. Motion to justify bail too late, the cause having been tried, and final judgment given. *T. 5 Geo. 2. Palmer v. Elton, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 79.*

2. Motion to justify bail too late, because the bail had pleaded *comperuit ad diem*, and the plaintiff had replied. *Per tot. cur'*, *T. 5 Geo. 2. Ellis v. Manwaring and others. Ibid. 79.*

3. Affidavit of justification by bail, "that they were severally worth the sum where- in they were bound by their recogni- zances, after all their *just* debts paid and satisfied," held to be insufficient, not being in common form; the word *just* ought to be omitted. *E. 8 Geo. 2. Harriman v. Clegg, 1 Barnes's Notes 58.*

Justifying

Justifying bail before a judge by consent.] Get the proper officer to attend at the judge's chambers, pay him for attending 3s. 4d. Have the bail there. Pay at the judge's chambers 2s.

N O T E S.

1. Justification before a judge is no justification but by plaintiff's consent, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 82.—But the bail may justify before a judge *de bene esse*. *Vide* next case.

2 Plaintiff served *Cooper*, late sheriff, with a peremptory rule, to bring in defendant's body in court within six days, whereupon defendant within that time put in bail, and justified before a judge *de bene esse*, and for want of an exception within twenty days, the bail became absolute. Plaintiff insisted, that tho' no exception was taken, yet the bail ought to have been perfected by justification in court (which is bringing in the body) within the six days limited by the rule. But *cur'* held otherwise. Rule on the late sheriff to shew cause why an attachment discharged. *E. 23 Geo. 2. Price and another, v. Street*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 84.

Of filing special bail.] On bail being put in before a judge, or transmitted from a commissioner, it is immediately filed with the filer or prothonotary, as the case is.

N O T E S.

1. The court will give time to rectify a mistake of filing bail in a wrong office, as where plaintiff had sued out a *test.* attachment of privilege from *Middlesex* into *Yorkshire*, and bail was taken as in a country cause, and filed with the filazer of *Yorkshire* by mistake; and in order to give defendant an opportunity to rectify that mistake, defendant moved for ten days time to put in bail, and that upon putting in good bail, payment of costs, pleading the general issue, and taking notice of trial within term, proceedings on the bail-bond might be staid, which was ordered accordingly. *Hil. 7 Geo. 2. Garnet v. Heaveside, 1 Barnes's Notes 51.*

2. The judges in the treasury refused to order a bail-piece to be filed, twenty days being lapsed since the caption, the words of the general rule being, that such bail-pieces shall not be filed without leave of the court.— Court was afterwards moved upon the affidavit of defendant's agent, that he received the bail-piece in due time, but that it was omitted to be filed by his clerk's neglect. *Cur'* ordered the bail-piece to be received and filed. *T. 7 & 8 Geo. 2. Aucher v. Hamilton, 1 Barnes's Notes 55.*

The form of a bail-piece where a prisoner in the Fleet is charged in custody with a declaration holding him to bail, pursuant to the rule Hil. 8 Geo. 2.

Michaelmas Term, &c.

Pacey.

London. **D**ECLARATION against *W. P.* late of *London*, Gent. to answer *G. S.* in a plea of trespass upon the case, and so forth, to the damage of the said *G.* of 20 *l.*

By oath for 10 *l.* 10 *s.*

The bail are { *A. D.* of, &c. Gent.
and
C. D. of, &c. Esq;

T. P. attorney
for defendant.

Each of the bail in 21 *l.*

Taken, &c. to be perfected hereafter before ———.

This is prothonotary's bail, and the bail-piece must be immediately filed with him. Upon the bail's justifying, and a rule of court thereupon made, the prothonotary will sign a *superfedeas*.

Cases

Cases of practice relating to special bail in general.

1. *In what cases special bail is not required; in what cases it is; and in what cases it is discretionary.*
2. *What affidavits have been held sufficient to hold a defendant to special bail of course, et econt.'*
3. *Where the bail was bound jointly and severally in 140 l. verdict for 300 l. each held to pay 140 l.*
4. *Striking out bail.*
5. *Of putting in bail before an arrest, and after final judgment.*
6. *Cases relating to justifying bail.*
7. *Sheriff cannot take bail on an attachment for contempt.*
8. *How to put in bail to save the advantage of pleading in ~~attornment~~ Abatement*

In what cases special bail is not required; in what cases it is; and in what cases it is discretionary.

NO special bail in slander, except in slander of title, and then to be left to the discretion of the judges. Rule M. 1654. *Vide* 1 *Barnes's Notes* 80.

Nor

Nor against heirs (*a*), executors, or administrators. *Ibid.* (*a*) *Vide p.*

In all cases where the defendant comes in by *cepi corpus*, be it in debt, detinue, trespass *Vide* Barnes's for goods, action upon the case (except slander), Notes 80. special bail is to be given if the debt or damages amount to 20*l.* except it be against an heir (*b*), executor or administrator. Rule *M.* (*b*) *Vide p.* 1654, *f.* 12.—*Trin.* 24 *Eliz.* pl. 4.

No bail of course in battery, conspiracy, false imprisonment, without special motion (*c*) and order. Rule *M.* 1654. (*c*) A judge at his chambers will

order special bail if he thinks fit.

Nor in privilege, except for fees and disbursements, as an attorney of this court, and then bail at the discretion of the court, in such case where in a suit by a common person, special bail is not required.

N. B. Now by the *Stat.* 12 *Geo.* 1. *c.* 29. bail in no case, unless affidavit be made of the debt, &c. and then 10*l.* holds to bail.

No special bail where the defendant appears upon the summons, attachment, or distress, or by *superfedeas quia improvide*, or renders himself upon the exigent. Rule *M.* 1654.

In all causes of removal, be it by *habeas corpus*, privilege, or *certiorari*, special bail ought to be given. *M.* 1649.

Bail to be put in before the suing out a *superfedeas* on any *capias*. Rule *T.* 24 *Eliz.*

In debt on a penal statute, defendant is not to be held to special bail.

Neither is bail required on a bail-bond, or recognizance of bail, for that would be to have bail *ad infinitum*.

No

*Vide Barnes's
Notes 80.*

No bail in ^{Covenant} ~~unless~~ unless for payment of money, or damages ascertained by affidavit.

In debt, *assumpsit*, trover, covenant by *acetiam*, bail is of course.—In trespass, detinue, and special action on the case, or of covenant, at discretion. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 80.

(a) *Vide p.
ca.*

For words [unless slander of title (a)] or a *quitam*, or a fine, penalty, or amerciamment, no bail. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 80, 81.

No bail on an action of debt upon a judgment against a prisoner discharged by *superseas* for want of prosecution. Rule *Hil. 8 Geo. 2. in C. P.*

(a) *Vide Stat.
11 G 12 W.
3. c. 9. J. 2.
Note; by this
statute no spe-
cial bail shall
be in Wales
under 20 l.*

No bail in county palatines under 20 *l. (a)*. *E. 15 Geo. 2. Rayner v. Brough, 2 Barnes's Notes 62.—Vide 1 Barnes Notes 62. E. 8 Geo. 2. Lord Molineux v. Charles, S. P. but cur' took time to consider of it.*

*Præ. Reg. in
C. P. 63. S. C.
Cur' would
not discharge
defendant on a
common ap-
pearance, as it
would be de-
termining the
cause on the
motion.*

Defendant held to bail by Lord Chief Justice's order, on affidavit of criminal conversation with plaintiff's wife, tho' defendant afterwards applied to Lord Chief Justice, and *cur'*, upon affidavits made by defendant and plaintiff's wife that plaintiff's death was reported, and that she had married defendant as her second husband. *M. 7 Geo. 2. Hadderweek v. Calmur, 1 Barnes's Notes 47.*

Where there can be no bail without a special order of a judge, defendant has a right to apply to the court to discharge the order, if not well founded. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 48.

Special bail on affidavit of entering plaintiff's ground, and taking away and spoiling hop-poles, and treading down his hop-plants, to the
damage

damage of 20*l.* without a judge's order, for *per cur'* the plaintiff is the proper person to swear to his damages, by the act of parliament. *T. 7 & 8 Geo. 2. Cooke and others v. Sankey*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 55.—*MS. notes*, S. C. *Cur'* declared, that tho' it was reasonable to have a judge's order in battery, there was none in this case.—*Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 106. S. C. says, the *Stat. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29.* did not distinguish actions. *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 64. S. C.

Special bail in covenant on affidavit that plaintiff was damnified 100*l.* by defendant's refusing to dance upon the stage according to articles, plaintiff having sworn to a certain damage. *E. 8 Geo. 2. Fleetwood, patentee of Drury-Lane play-house, v. Poitier*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 58. 2 *Barnes's Notes* 94.
E. 26 Geo. 2. Reynoldson v. Blades, in the treasury.
Plaintiff swore that defendant cove-

nanted to pay him 315*l.* for the purchase of land; that he had been always ready to convey the estate on payment of the purchase money, but defendant refused, whereby plaintiff swears himself damnified 40*l.* Common appearance ordered. No previous application to a judge. Damages uncertain. Old cases, *Fleetwood v. Poitier*, &c. are not to be followed, where damages can be reduced to a certainty, as in covenant for payment of money, or where a tenant covenants with his landlord to pay a certain sum for every acre of land he plows up, or the like, plaintiff is intitled to bail, otherwise not, especially without a judge's order previous. 'Tis not reasonable that defendant should be held to bail for such damages as plaintiff fancies he has sustained, and is pleased to swear to.—So in an action on bond to save harmless, plaintiff must swear *positively* and *certainly*, how, and for how much he is damnified, the court cannot take it by implication. *Hil. 27 Geo. 2. Whitfield v. Whitfield.*

A. ordered to pay *B.* 100*l.* in a court in *France*, which sentence was annulled by the parliament of *Paris*. *A.* not to be held to bail here. *Hil. 10 Geo. 2. Debalfe v. Mackensie*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 68.

Defendant superseded by surprize, without plaintiff's knowledge, may be arrested again for

the same debt. *M. 7 Geo. 2. Whalley v. Martin, 1 Barnes's Notes 50.*

Plaintiff *non-suited* in debt on bond, may arrest defendant in a *new* action on same bond. *E. 10 Geo. 2. Harris v. Roberts, 1 Barnes's Notes 69.*

Mr. Justice *Comyns* had ordered bail for 200*l.* in an action for a malicious prosecution for forgery, upon plaintiff's affidavit. Motion for an appearance; and it appearing that plaintiff was not acquitted of the indictment upon the merits, but upon a flaw, and no precedent being produced of an order for bail in such an action as this, (tho' for false imprisonment there was) the rule to shew cause why a common appearance was made absolute. *E. 11 Geo. 2. Russel v. Gately, 1 Barnes's Notes 74. Rep. and Cas. of Pract. 148. S. C. Pract. Reg. in C. P. 66. S. C.*

Plaintiff had made affidavit that defendant had seized and detained his ship to his damage, and held defendant to bail. *Per cur'*: The damages in this case are uncertain, and plaintiff is not intitled to bail without a judge's order. Rule for common appearance and *supersedeas* made absolute. *M. 12 Geo. 2. Le Writ v. Tolcher, 1 Barnes's Notes 79.*

Defendant held to bail by a judge's order, in an action for mesne profits after judg-

Motion in Treasury for bail in an action for mesne profits, after a recovery in ejectment upon the lessor of the plaintiff's affidavit, that the mesne profits amounted to 89*l.* Bail ordered for

ment in ejectment against the casual ejector by default, discharged by plaintiff's consent on common appearance, the *actiam* being *in case* instead of *trespass* only. *M. 15 Geo. 2. Treherne v. Gressingham, 2 Barnes's Notes 59.* It was observed *per cur'*, that these actions for mesne profits (which are grown very fashionable) tend to create double expence. Why should not plaintiff be ready at the trial of the ejectment, to give his damages, which

for 80*l.* This is a cause of action which is bailable, or not, at the discretion of the court, or a judge. *M. 13 Geo. 2. Hunt v. Hudson & al'*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 88.

which may be recovered in that action, without bringing a second for

mesne profits. The true rule as to the time from which mesne profits are to be recovered, seems to be where judgment is against the casual ejector, from the time of the delivery of declarations to the tenants in possession, or from the time of an actual demand of possession proved, where judgment is against the tenants in possession (or the landlord defending in their stead) from the ouster admitting by the common consent rule; but in neither case from the demise, which may be laid back at plaintiff's pleasure. *Ibid.*

Action for 50*l.* penalty given by act of parliament, for defendant's practising as an attorney, not being duly admitted. Rule to shew cause why common appearance and *superfedeas* absolute. This is for a fine or amerciamment, and is in nature of a *qui tam*. *Hil. 12 Geo. 2. Whittingham v. Coghlan*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 81.

A. discharged at the sessions on the fugitive act, not to be held to bail. *Hil. 12 Geo. 2. Lisle v. Fenys*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 82.

Plaintiff's testator had executed a letter of licence to defendant for five years, which were not expired at the time, defendant was arrested and held to bail at plaintiff's suit. Motion for a common appearance denied. *Cur'* being of opinion, that entring into the question about the letter of licence (which could not amount to more than a release) was entring into the merits of the cause. *Hil. 7 Geo. 2. Birch, executor, v. Douglass*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 52.

Action upon a lease dated in 1727 for two years rent due since the year 1733, when defendant became a bankrupt. Defendant, on producing his certificate allowed, confirmed and

inrolled, was allowed to enter a common appearance, neither the possession nor the legal interest of the estate being in defendant. *E. 8 Geo. 2. Cantrel, administrator, v. Graham, 1 Barnes's Notes 61.*

Bail must be put in on an action of debt on a judgment, tho' bail before put in on a writ of error. Note; no bail was given in the *original* action. No instance where bail put in on writ of error has been held sufficient to excuse bail in an action of debt on judgment. *M. 10 Geo. 2. Weyman v. Weyman, 1 Barnes's Notes 65.*

If a feme covert be arrested, and the marriage is clearly made out, *cur'* will order a common appearance; but in this case defendant appeared to have acted as feme sole for twelve years, which makes the matter doubtful.

Feme covert not to be held to bail when her husband is not arrested. *E. 8 Geo. 2. Blick v. Halpen & ux', 1 Barnes's Notes 59.*—The reason is, that if the wife was to be held to bail, it would be in the power of the husband to set up a sham action against her, and keep her in continual imprisonment; *secus*, if the husband and wife had been both taken, in that case both should be held till bail be given for both: The reason is, that otherwise a woman might marry a prisoner, and thereby being free from imprisonment herself, defraud her creditors. *Ibid.*

M. 22 Geo. 2. Holland, an attorney, v. Ereskine, 2 Barnes's Notes 80.

Defendant held to bail in an action for the penalty of 100*l.* for not delivering goods of 300*l.* value, within a certain time, pursuant to agreement in writing. *M. 14 Geo. 2. Kettleby v. Woodcock, 2 Barnes's Notes 56.*

In an action on a judgment in an inferior court, bail required here, tho' bail was filed in the

the original action below. *M. 18 Geo. 2. Davies, executor, v. Leckie, 2 Barnes's Notes 71.*

Infant liable to the debts of his wife of full age, and may be held to bail. *E. 18 Geo. 2. Paris v. Stroud and his wife, 2 Barnes's Notes 72.*

The producing a duplicate of defendant's discharge as a fugitive, held sufficient to intitle him to a common appearance, and affidavits on plaintiff's part, to shew that defendant was not abroad beyond the seas the first of *January 1747*, refused to be read. This should have been objected at the sessions. It may be pleaded, but cannot be entered into on the question of bail or no bail. *E. 22 Geo. 2. 2 Barnes's Notes 81. Ibid. 85. Baxter v. Overton, Hil. 24 Geo. 2. S. P.* Defendant discharged on entering a common appearance; and having put in bail before a judge, the bail-piece was ordered to be vacated; defendant being considered as in custody of his bail, and his person being by the statute to be discharged.—*Ibid. 88. M. 25 Geo. 2. Norton v. Lutwidge, S. P.*

Defendant producing his certificate as a bankrupt, discharged on a common appearance. *M. 23 Geo. 2. Knight v. Remy, 2 Barnes's Notes 82.*

Bail in an action of debt on judgment discharged, there being bail in the original action, and plaintiff therefore not intitled to bail on the judgment (a). *T. 25 & 26 Geo. 2. Garth v. Green, 2 Barnes's Notes 93.*

(a) If there was bail in the original action, then no bail is required in the action upon the

judgment; but if no bail in the original action, then bail is to be put in where the debt is above 10*l* (the money recovered) and an affidavit made thereof. *Hil. 13 Geo. 1. Jackson v. Duckett, Rep. and Cases of Pract. in C. P. 32. S. C. Pract. Reg. in C. P. 54.*

Common appearance denied, the matter alleged being improper to be discussed on a motion. *E. 27 Geo. 2. 2 Barnes's Notes* 96.

Defendant being a seaman, in actual service of the king, was arrested and held to bail in the palace court; he removed the action by *habeas corpus*, and was discharged by this court on a common appearance *secundum Stat. 1 Geo. 2. c. 14.* the debt being under 20*l.* Objection that defendant had absented two days after the time of leave given. But *cur'* held, that the service continues whilst defendant's name remained in the ship's books. *Hil. 18 Geo. 2. Studwell v. Bunton, 2 Barnes's Notes* 71.

On a bottomry-bond for payment of money *inter alia*, *cur'* inclined to think defendant should give bail. *E. 13 Geo. 1. Deflower v. Tutt, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 34.*

Soldier.

An out-pensioner of *Chelsea* college held to bail, not being a soldier within the meaning of the statute *5 Geo. 2. c. 2.* for preventing mutiny and desertion. *M. 6 Geo. 2. Bowler v. Owens, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 77.*

Soldier.

A Soldier held to bail on an action of debt, upon a judgment for above 10*l.* tho' the original debt was under 10*l.* The *Stat. 5 Geo. 2.* for preventing mutiny, &c. intended the debt that was due at the time of holding to bail, and this being an action of debt on a judgment for upwards of 10*l.* tho' the original cause of action did not amount to so much, is not within the intent of the act. *E. 6 Geo. 2. Nichols & al' v. Wilder, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 89.—1 Barnes's Notes* 311. S. C. *Cur'* were of opinion, that the debt which they were to consider was the sum recovered by the judgment, and that defendant must be held to bail.—

Pract.

Pract. Reg. in C. P. 60. S. C.—The same point was determined upon consideration and looking into the soldier's act, in *Hil. 5 Geo. 2. inter Bilson v. Smith*, *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 50. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 311. *Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 89. *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 59. But since, by the *Stat. 13 Geo. 2.* for preventing mutiny and desertion, the *original* debt must be 10*l.* *Vide Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 61.

Bail in an action of account. *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 9.

Attorney, if he undertakes to file special bail, is bound to do it, tho' there be no affidavit filed of the debt. *E. 9 Geo. 2. Jones v. Leighton, Bart.* *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 50.

Bail in debt on a judgment, if *no* bail in the *original* action; *aliter*, if bail in the original action. *Vide p.* 69.

If a writ of error be brought on a judgment, and bail be put in on the writ of error, and pending the writ of error, an action of debt be brought on the judgment, the defendant in such action shall be held to bail, *if there was no bail in the original action*; for though it may be said the bail on the writ of error is a security for the plaintiff's demand, yet it is to be observed that there may be accidents whereby such bail will not be liable, as that the writ may abate by the death of the chief justice, or the like. *Anon. MS. Rep.*

An action of debt was brought upon a judgment after a writ of error, and bail put in thereupon; but no bail was given in the original action; and the question was, whether bail being put in upon the writ of error, defendant ought to be held to bail in the action on the judgment. Urged for defendant, that according

to the course of the court, where bail is given in the original action, no bail is required in the action on the judgment, and the bail in error, who are bound for debt and costs, and cannot surrender the principal, are a better security than bail in the original action. *Per cur'*: No instance can be shewn, where bail put in on a writ of error has been held sufficient to excuse bail in an action of debt on judgment. Defendant was held to bail. It was said by *Chapple* for plaintiff, who quoted *Cooper and Price, Sid. 294. Hickman and Corbet, 2 Keb. 52 & 70*, that in case the writ of error should be *nonpros'd* for want of transcribing the record, the bail would not be liable; but the law is otherwise, and the bail being bound to prosecute the writ of error with effect, are liable in case of a *nonpros.* *M. 10 Geo. 2. Weyman v. Weyman, 1 Barnes's Notes 65.*

No attorney of *this* or *any other court* to be bail in any suit or action depending in this court. *M. 6 Geo. 2.* Former rules *T. 24 Eliz. f. 8. Mich. 1654. f.*

2 Barnes's Notes 79. Baker v. Chafsey, E. 20 Geo. 2. In error. No sheriff's officer, bailiff, or other persons concerned in the execution of process, shall be bail in any action in this court. *M. 6 Geo. 2.*

Objected to one of the bail, that he was a palace court officer, but over-ruled. The above rule to prevent sheriff's officer, &c. from being bail, extending only to process of this court, whereon defendants having been bailed by the officers who arrested them, were greatly imposed on, and abused. Afterwards *M. 29 Geo. 2. Filewood v. Smith*, the court exploded the doctrine of this case, which was determined in the absence of the Lord Chief Justice, and rejected the palace-court officer's bail. They held that the rule extends to all bailiffs, officers, and others concerned in the execution of process. The rule was made for the benefit of plaintiffs, and not merely to prevent impositions and abuses on defendants. *Supplement to 2. vol. Barnes's Notes, p. 9.*

Hired

Hired bail committed. *Pract. Reg. in C. P.*

73.

2. *What affidavits have been held sufficient to hold a defendant to special bail of course, et econt.*

Affidavit that defendant is indebted to plaintiff as administrator in 40*l.* by promissory note given by defendant to plaintiff's intestate, as plaintiff believes, and as appears by note in plaintiff's custody, to which he refers. *Fortescue J.* had ordered a common appearance. Motion to discharge the order. *Cur'*: Let the judge be re-attended. *E. 10 Geo. 2. Parrot, administrator, v. Smith, 1 Barnes's Notes 70.*

Affidavit to hold to bail made by a third person, must be *positive*, except in cases of an executor, where *belief* is sufficient. *M. 14 Geo. 2. Manning v. Williams, 2 Barnes's Notes 58. Vide ibid. 65.*—Affidavit by a third person, that defendant was indebted, as appeared by a stated account, attested by the consul at *Oporto*, insufficient; but made good by a subsequent one of defendant's acknowledging the account. *M. 23 Geo. 2. Swarbreck v. Wheeler, Ibid. 81.*

Affidavit by plaintiff's attorney that there is a bond, that money appears due, and that defendant a year and a half ago acknowledged the debt, and offered to compound. The first part of the affidavit was held defective, but the latter proving the acknowledgment of the debt, sufficient to hold to bail. *E. 12 Geo. 2. Darch v. Parry, 1 Barnes's Notes 84.*

Affidavit by a third person, that defendant was indebted as appeared by a stated account, insufficient, but made good by a subsequent

one of defendant's acknowledging the stated account. *M. 22 Geo. 2. Swarbreck v. Wheeler, 2 Barnes's Notes 81.*

Affidavit

Affidavit that defendant was indebted if the ship *Suffex* was not unavoidably lost, *prima facie* sufficient, otherwise there could be no bail in bottomry-bonds; but affidavits were read on both sides controverting the fact, whether the loss of the ship was unavoidable, or not. *M. 14 Geo. 2. Manning v. Williams, 2 Barnes's Notes 58.*

Affidavit that defendants were indebted to plaintiff *jointly* in 13*l.* *Capias* was indorsed in like manner to hold them to bail; the *acertiam* was against them *severally*, and they were arrested and *severally* held to bail. *Cur'*: The affidavit is not sufficient to hold defendants to bail *severally*, *T. 10 Geo. 2. Bett v. Goodman and another, 1 Barnes's Notes 64.*

Plaintiff offered to produce supplemental affidavits to prove that defendant had confessed the debt, and that he intended to fly into *Ireland*. But *per cur'*: This woman cannot be

Affidavit to hold to bail, made by plaintiff's wife, who being convicted of pocket-picking, was transported; and afterwards being convicted of returning from transportation, received sentence of death. These matters appearing from record, she was looked upon as an infamous woman, and no credit given to her affidavit. Rule absolute for common appearance. *M. 12 Geo. 2. Nicholls v. Dallybunty, 1 Barnes's Notes 78.*

a witness in any case; and as there is not a sufficient affidavit to found the process, that defect cannot be supplied. *Ibid. Pract. Reg. in C. P. 49. S. C.*

On defendant's affidavit that he believed the whole debt would appear by indorsement on the bond to be paid, a common appearance entered, plaintiff not producing bond. *M. 10 Geo. 2. Shaw, Bart. v. Hawkins, 1 Barnes's Notes 66.*

One of the plaintiffs, in order to hold defendant to bail, made an affidavit that defendant was indebted to plaintiffs 1300*l.* as appeared

ed

ed by an account under the bankrupt's hand. Note; the bankrupt was living. *Cur'* thought a *positive* affidavit of the debt necessary, unless it had appeared that the bankrupt refused to make the same. *Hil. 16 Geo. 2. Tribe and others, assignees, &c. of a bankrupt's effects, v. Pratt, 2 Barnes's Notes 65.*

Where the bail was bound jointly and severally in 140l. verdict for 300l. each held to pay 140l.

IN an action of trespass and assault to the damage of 500*l.* a judge ordered bail for 140*l.* and defendant being present at the taking of bail, his bail were bound *jointly* and *severally* in 140*l.* Verdict for 300*l.* Each bail to pay 140*l.* *E. 11 Geo. 2. Calveraq and his wife v. De Miranda, 1 Barnes's Notes 74.*

In an action of assault and battery the plaintiffs procured a judge's order to hold defendant to bail for 140*l.* whereupon the defendant became bound in 280*l.* and the bail *jointly* and *severally* in 140*l.* the plaintiffs had a verdict for 300*l.* *1 Barnes's Notes 74. E. 11 Geo. 2. Calveraq and his wife v. De Miranda, seems to be*

S. C. This was an action of assault to the damage of 500*l.* bail ordered and taken severally for 140*l.* Verdict for 300*l.* Each bail to pay 140*l.* For *cur'* were of opinion, that as the damages in the writ were laid 500*l.* here is no fraud upon the bail, the recognizance is separate as well a joint, and in its nature a judgment; the award of the court thereupon is, that plaintiff have execution, therefore so far as the penalty of each recognizance will go, it is just and equitable the same be applied towards satisfaction of the consideration money, for payment whereof, and not of any particular sum, the condition is. The practice of the *King's Bench* had been mentioned, but the proceedings there by bill, where bail is taken without any particular penal sum, differ widely from the form of proceedings here, and must be governed by the *acetiam billæ*, otherwise bail might be defrauded. *Ibid.*

300*l.* and brought separate actions on the recognizance against the bail. The bail moved the court, that on payment of one sum of 140*l.* and costs, proceedings might be stayed, and compared this to an action on a bond; but the plaintiffs insisted that there is a difference, for in a bond the condition is to pay the money, and if one obligor pays it, the other shall be discharged, for the condition is complied with; but in a recognizance the condition is not satisfied till the damages recovered be paid, or the defendant surrendered. And it was held, that the bail being jointly and severally bound, the action against them could not be discharged unless the condition of the recognizance was performed, *viz.* that the defendant should pay what was recovered, or surrender himself to the *Fleet*. *Calveraq & ux' v. Pinhero, Mich. 12 Geo. 2. MS. Rep.*

Striking out bail.

BA I L denied to be struck out of bail-piece, tho' another was added in his stead, who was ready to justify; affidavit that he is a material witness, not having been made. *E. 8 Geo. 2. Young v. Wood, 1 Barnes's Notes 61.*

Additional bail ordered to be struck out, where put in without defendant's knowledge, on purpose to have defendant in his power to surrender him. *M. 6 Geo. 1. Husday v. Boyes, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 17.*

Motion against a bailiff for retaking the defendant on a *Sunday* that had given insufficient bail. *Cur'* seemed to be of opinion that he might retake defendant on a fresh pursuit. But in this case bail was put in above, and no regular exception

ception entered, which ought to have been done; so an attachment was granted against the bailiff. *Hil. 13 Geo. 1. Rayner v. Stamp, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 33.*

Of putting in bail before an arrest, and after final judgment.

BAIL before a judge cannot regularly be put in before an arrest without plaintiff's consent, for if voluntary bail were sufficient to prevent an arrest, defendant might put in sham bail, and thereby elude the writ, and the process must be lost; but it may be put in before the return of the writ after an arrest. *E. 13 Geo. 2. Huggins v. Bambridge, 1 Barnes's Notes 85. — Pract. Reg. in C. P. 51. S. C.*

Bail cannot be put in after final judgment, the recognizance of bail plainly imports that it must be entered into before a defendant be condemned in the action. *T. 16 & 17 Geo. 2. Jackson v. Knight, 2 Barnes's Notes 67.*

Cases relating to justifying bail.

Defendant committed to the *Fleet*, for endeavouring to bribe the plaintiff's attorney not to appear against the bail on their justifying. *E. 6 Geo. 2. Hefelton v. Lister, Esq; Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 88.*

Sheriff

Sheriff cannot take bail on an attachment for contempt.

Ibid. 14. M.
4 Geo. 1. *Field*
v. Walford.
No bail-bond
to be taken
upon an at-
tachment for a
contempt out

DEBT on a sheriff's bond taken on an attachment out of *Chancery*. Upon demurrer, *cur'* held that the sheriff cannot take a bail-bond upon an attachment for a contempt. *E. 7 Geo. 2. Waddington v. Titch, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 100. Pract. Reg. in C. P. 54. S. C.*

of *Common Pleas*, by the opinion of all the judges. *Pract. Reg. in C. P. 53. S. C.* says it was an attachment for contempt, in not paying costs. A sheriff cannot take bail upon an attachment for a contempt, but a judge may. *Pract. Reg. in C. P. 325. Vide Pract. Reg. in C. P. 54.*

How to put in bail to save the advantage of pleading in abatement.

WILLIAM SMITH, *Gent.* defendant in the original action, was sued by the addition of *clerk*, and entered into a bail-bond by that addition. Bail above was put in within due time for "*William Smith, Gent.* who "*was arrested by the name and addition of "William Smith, clerk,"* and plaintiff having excepted against the bail, they justified in court. Plaintiff declared *de bene esse* in the original action, and defendant pleaded in abatement within time. Plaintiff took the plea out of the office, staid proceedings near twelve months, and then filed a bill, as assignee of the sheriff, against *Thomas Smith, Gent.* an attorney, one of the bail in the bail-bond, insisting that defendant in the original action was estopped from pleading in abatement, that the bail put in as above, is no bail for *William Smith, clerk*; and that defendant

defendant ought to be left to his plea of *compere-ruit ad diem*. The court thought the application by motion proper, and that the original defendant was not estopped from pleading in abatement by the bail-bond, which must *proit* the writ. That the manner he pursued of putting in bail is the constant regular method, and the only way to save the advantage of pleading in abatement. Rule absolute to stay proceedings with costs. *E. 17 Geo. 2. Smithson, Bart. assignee, &c. against Thomas Smith, Gent. an attorney, 2 Barnes's Notes 70.*

Of staying proceedings on bail-bond.

IF bail-bond be regularly assigned, and put in suit, the proceeding may be set aside on paying costs, on application before the rule to plead be out, by motion of court, or judge's summons, if the plaintiff be not thereby delayed of a trial, or of obtaining judgment against the principal. But before application the defendant must put in and justify bail in the original action, and give notice thereof to the plaintiff's attorney.

Where proceedings on a bail-bond are staid upon consent that it shall stand as a security for the plaintiff, if he recovers in the original action, it is always intended, and should be so expressed, that judgment be given upon the bail-bond, and that only execution thereon shall be staid, and without such consent the court will not stay proceedings upon the bail-bond where the plaintiff has been delayed of a trial; but if such delay is through his own neglect it is otherwise.

Where

Where the defendant dies before judgment could be obtained against him in the original action, the court will stay proceedings on the bail-bond; but if the defendant lives so long after the arrest, that if he had put in bail in time, the plaintiff could have obtained judgment and execution against him, the court will not stay proceedings on the bail-bond. In like manner if the defendant becomes a bankrupt, and obtains his certificate, the court will stay proceedings on the bail-bond.

N O T E S.

Vide Rep. and Cases of Pract. in C. P. 43.

1. Bail-bond to be taken in double the sum sworn to. But *cur'* seemed to think the sheriff had done wrong in taking the bail-bond in more than double; and gave the defendant leave to plead the *Stat. 12 Geo. 1. c. 29*, on payment of costs. This was a motion on said statute to set aside a judgment on a bail-bond. The plaintiff had sworn his debt to 28 *l.* The sheriff took a bail-bond in 68 *l.* which was 12 *l.* more than double the sum sworn to. *M. 3 Geo. 2. Male, assignee of the sheriff of London, against Mitchell, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 67.*

2. Debt on a bail-bond may be brought by an executor of the assignee of the sheriff, for the statute for the amendment of the law designed a benefit to the plaintiff, and not a prejudice, and there are no negative words in the statute. *Hil. 3 Geo. 2. Nott v. Stevens, Ibid. 68.*

1 Barnes's Notes 81.

Hil. 12 Geo. 2. Lloyd v. Painter, proceed-

3. Special bail being put in and justified, proceedings upon the bail-bond were staid upon payment of costs, receiving a declaration in the original action, pleading the general issue, and taking

taking short notice of trial, for the last sitting in term. *M. 8 Geo. 2. Orpwood v. Banniere, Ibid. 70.*

ings on bail-bond staid on payment of costs, accept-

ing a declaration in the original action, pleading the general issue, and taking notice of trial within term, and the bail-bond to stand for security, plaintiff having been delayed of trial. Objected, that plaintiff had delayed himself, for he might have declared *de bene esse*. But *per cur'*: There is no necessity for so doing.---*Ibid. 86. E. 13 Geo. 2. Ward, an attorney, v. Alderton.* Bail being justified in court, defendant moved after the last sittings to stay proceedings on the bail-bond, upon payment of costs. Plaintiff insisted, that the action being in *Middlesex*, and the writ returnable first return, plaintiff had been delayed of trial, and the bail bond ought to stand as a security; but it appearing that no declaration in the original action had been delivered *de bene esse*, or otherwise, plaintiff has delayed himself, and proceedings staid upon payment of costs.

4. Action in *Middlesex*, writ returnable first return of the term, the bail-bond was assigned. Defendant put in bail and justified, and then moved after the last sitting within term, that proceedings on the bail-bond might be staid on payment of costs. Plaintiff insisted that he had been delayed a trial, and therefore the bail-bond ought to stand as a security; but it appearing that plaintiff, by not having delivered a declaration *de bene esse*, or otherwise, had delayed himself, the motion was granted. *E. 13 Geo. 2. Ward, an attorney, v. Alderton, Ibid. 71.*

5. Proceedings on bail-bond denied to be staid on payment of costs, plaintiff having been delayed of trial, and defendant and his bail refusing to consent that the bail-bond should stand for plaintiff's security. Defendant insisted, that plaintiff not having delivered his declaration *de bene esse*, had delayed himself; but the writ in the original action being returnable last term, that objection will not hold. Declarations *de bene esse* are necessary to take the advantage of the term, if the writ be of the first or second

return, where defendant is to plead without imparlance, but not otherwise. *E. 16 Geo. 2. Seaber v. Powell, 2 Barnes's Notes 66.*

6. After plaintiff had been delayed of a trial, defendant justified bail, and obtained a judge's order to stay proceedings on the bail-bond, upon payment of costs, &c. and consenting that the bail should stand as plaintiff's security. Plaintiff recovered judgment in the original action, and then renewed his proceedings, and declared on the bail-bond. Defendant pleaded *comperuit ad diem*, which *cur'* set aside, and gave plaintiff leave to enter judgment on the bail-bond immediately, but stayed execution for a week. It is always intended, and ought in these cases to be expressed, that judgment be given, and execution only staid. *T. 13 & 14 Geo. 2. Olway v. Cockayne, 2 Barnes's Notes 56.*

By an old rule, attornies of this court are ordered not to bring actions in other courts, and the statute directing

7. Proceedings on a bail-bond taken on a *capias ad respond.* sued out of this court, set aside with costs, by consent of plaintiff and his attorney, plaintiff's attorney having put it in suit in *B. R.* *Cur'* thought this proceeding unwarrantable. *Hil. 17 Geo. 2. Francis v. Taylor, Ibid. 67.*

the assignment of bail bonds, gives the court, after such bonds are put in suit, an equitable jurisdiction to stay proceedings, and to let a defendant in to try the merits of the original action upon reasonable terms; which jurisdiction cannot be exercised, unless the original action, and the proceedings upon the bail bond, were in the same court. *Ibid.*

8. Judgment in action on bail-bond, signed two days after plaintiff's death, and the suit thereby abated, plaintiff gave defendant time to plead, and died before that time expired. The bail-bond was put in suit by the executor of the late plaintiff deceased, and defendant applied

plied to stay proceedings. The *capias* in the original action was returnable *tres Mich.* and plaintiff might have had judgment in his life-time, if defendant had not made default, by not putting in bail above. Proceedings staid in the original action, and on the bail-bond on payment of 43*l.* agreed to be the debt and costs in the original action, and in this action. No costs in the first action on bail-bond, wherein there was no default by defendant. *Hil.* 19 *Geo.* 2. *Nutkins, executor, v. Wilkins,* 2 *Barnes's Notes* 73.

9. Proceedings on bail-bond staid; it appearing that the *defendant* in the original action died before judgment could have been obtained thereon against him. *M.* 21 *Geo.* 2. *Castell v. Grave, one, &c.* on a bail-bond. *Ibid.* 79. Proceedings on a bail-bond ordered to be staid on payment of costs, it appearing that the *plaintiff* in the original action died before judgment could be recovered therein. *T.* 10 *Geo.* 2. *Willoughby, administrator of Lady Jenkins, v. Rhodes,* 1 *Barnes's Notes* 63.

10. Proceedings not staid where plaintiff might have proceeded against defendant in the original action to trial, and to have had judgment and execution in his life-time, if such defendant had put in bail in the original action in time. *M.* 22 *Geo.* 2. *Evening v. Spoorman,* 2 *Barnes's Notes* 80.

11. Writ returnable last *Michaelmas* term, bail was taken before a commissioner in the country, notice thereof given to plaintiff's attorney there, and bail-piece transmitted to *London* to defendant's agent; he incautiously filed it with the filazer, who received it, without first being allowed by a judge. Plaintiff lay by till after last assizes, and then took an assignment of, and put the bail-bond

bond in suit. *Cur'* ordered the filazer to attend a judge for his *allocatur*; gave plaintiff leave to except against the bail, and stayed proceedings on the bail-bond upon payment of costs. Urged that plaintiff had been delayed, and lost a trial; but such delay is through his own laches, he might have put bail-bond in suit much earlier than he did. *E. 24 Geo. 2. Hutchinson v. Hardcastle, 2 Barnes's Notes 85.*

12. Proceedings on bail-bond staid, defendant in the original action having become a bankrupt, and obtained his certificate. *M. 25 Geo. 2. Saunders, Esq; late Sheriff, v. Spincks, ibid 89.*

13. Verdict for plaintiff on bail-bond, *cur'* gave leave to file bail in the original action, on payment of costs, and consenting that plaintiff might take judgment on the bail-bond to stand as a security for what he should recover. Note; the original action was for fees, &c. and defendant in the original action made an affidavit, that he never, in his own separate capacity, employed plaintiff as his attorney. *E. 10 Geo. 2. Birch, an attorney, v. Graves, 1 Barnes's Notes 70.*

14. Bail-bond in a country cause not to be put in suit till eight days, *exclusive* of the appearance day of the return of the writ, are expired. *M. 12 Geo. 2. Downes v. Nichols, Pract. Reg. in C. P. 69.—1 Barnes's Notes 76. S. P.*

15. The sheriff cannot take a bail-bond on an attachment for contempt issued out of C. P. or on an attachment out of Chancery. *Vide Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 14, 100.*

16. Defendant, a member of the last parliament, having been arrested and held to bail before

before the expiration of forty days (*privilege claimed by the commons*) next after the dissolution, applied to have the bail-bond delivered up. By consent rule absolute for delivering up bail-bond, on entering common appearance. *E.* 27 *Geo.* 2. *Barnard v. Mordaunt, Esq;* *Supplement to 2. vol. Barnes's Notes p. 8.*

17. Defendant put in bail 25th of *May* (two days before the end of last term;) the day after the term (28th *May*) plaintiff excepted against the bail, and for want of justification before a judge, took an assignment of, and proceeded on the bail-bond. Afterwards defendant gave notice to justify his bail in court on the first day of this term, which he did, and then applied for stay of proceedings on the bail-bond. Rule absolute without costs. *T.* 27 *Geo.* 2. *Lovibond v. Faikney, Supplement to Barnes's Notes p. 8.*

See 2. vol. *Barnes's Notes* p. 82. *Fowles, Esq; v. Grafvenor, S. P. p. 173. De Revoje, executor, v. Hayman, contra.*

18. Defendant, after a judge's order for time to put in and perfect bail, put in bail, and surrendered himself to the *Fleet* in discharge of his bail. Plaintiff's attorney proceeded upon an assignment of the bail-bond; but the court held such proceedings to be wrong. Before a surrender defendant is delivered to his bail, and supposed to be in their custody; by the surrender the custody is altered, and defendant is in prison; the worth and substance of the bail, who by the surrender are discharged, is totally immaterial. Rule absolute to set aside the proceedings on the bail-bond without costs. *Hil.* 29 *Geo.* 2. *French v. Knowles, Supplement to Barnes's Notes p. 10.*

Cf Bringing in the body.

IF the plaintiff does not approve of the bail taken by the sheriff, he may give the sheriff a rule to return the writ, and on his returning a *cepi corpus*, he may give him a like rule to bring in the body, and in default thereof may have an attachment against him.

Rules for the sheriff to bring in the body of a prisoner taken upon a process issuing out of a filazer's office, to be given by such filazer. Rule *T. 2 W. & M.*

If any sheriff, under-sheriff, or his deputy, or any other officer, having the return of any process issuing out of this court, or of any precept or warrant thereupon, shall neglect or refuse to return the same within six days after service of a rule of this court for that purpose, such sheriff, under-sheriff, &c. shall be liable to pay the costs occasioned by such neglect, to be taxed. Rule *Hil. 8 Geo. 1.*

N O T E S.

1. If the sheriff returns that the defendant *non est inventus* in his bailiwick, when he had really arrested him, an action may be brought against him for a false return.

2. The under-sheriff of *Hampshire* shut himself up, and could not be *personally* served with a rule to return the writ of *cepias ad respond.* Rule, that leaving a copy at his house should be good. *M. 23 Geo. 2. Richardson v. Bailey, 2 Barnes's Notes 31.*

3. Bail

3. Bail taken by sheriff were then good, but afterwards became insufficient, he must put in good bail. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 80.

4. Sheriff must put in bail on rule to bring in the body in six days, else must pay costs. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 80. Where a sheriff takes a bail-bond, by the rule to bring in the body, is meant perfecting bail above; but where a defendant remains in custody for want of bail, plaintiff must declare against him in custody of the sheriff, or if he would remove him to the *Fleet*, he must do it by *habeas corpus ad respondend.* The court never expects a sheriff to bring the defendant's body into court by virtue of the common rule. *Supplement to 2 vol. Barnes's Notes p. 51.*

5. Rule to bring in body shall be discharged if defendant hath continued in custody since arrest; otherwise if escape. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 27, 284.

6. Rule for the late sheriff of *D.* to return a writ of *capias* discharged, it not having been carried to sheriff's office till a year after it was returnable. *T. 25 & 26 Geo. 2. Potter v. Colsworthy*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 341.

7. A treasury rule for sheriff of *D.* to return the writ discharged, defendant being protected by a publick minister, and the protection registered in his office. *M. 17 Geo. 2. Wright v. Obeden*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 332. Note; the sheriff durst not execute the *capias* by reason of the protection and penalty in the act.

8. Service of rule for attachment against sheriff, good on under-sheriff. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 293.

Of surrender of principal in discharge of bail.

WITHIN what time the bail may surrender the principal when plaintiff proceeds against them by action of debt, &c.] If an action of debt be brought upon the recognizance against the bail, the bail may surrender the principal on the *quarto die post* of the return *sedente curia*, but not after the court is risen. *Vide* Rule M. 1654. f. 12.

N O T E S.

1. If plaintiff proceeds by action of debt, the writ must be served four days before the return.

2. In an action of debt upon a recognizance against the bail, the plaintiff need not sue out a *special writ*; a *clausum fregit*, with an *acetiam in debito super demand.* is sufficient. For *per cur'*: An *acetiam in debito* is an action of debt within the meaning of the rule. M. 1654. f. 12. But that defendant (*i. e.* the bail) must be *arrested* (a) at least four days before the return of the writ, so that he may have time to render the principal. M. 6 Geo. 1. *Wright v. Dixon, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 18.—In the case of *Davies v. Carter et al'*, E. 4 Geo. 2. pursuant to this resolution, proceedings against bail were staid, because they were not *served* with process till the day of the return. *Ibid. Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 11. *Wright v. Dixon, M. 6 Geo. 1. Acetiam in debt against bail upon a recognizance as good as by præcipe in debt.*—*Ibid.* 83. E. 2 Geo. 2. *Flanegan v. Adey.* In debt on a recognizance of
bail,

(a) Arrested
in the original.

bail, *cur'* declared that the writ must be *served* four days before the return, and that defendant ought to have as much advantage on an action of debt upon the recognizance as upon a *scire facias*.—The like determination, the process being served on the return-day *in casu Draper v. Carter and another, bail of Cooper, E. 4 Geo. 2. Ibid.—2 Barnes's Notes 87.* Bail served with process two days only before the return, tho' four are requisite, proceedings were staid.

3. The course of the court in an action of debt upon a judgment, pending a writ of error in the original action, is not to stay proceedings till the writ of error is determined, unless the defendant will give judgment, and execution to stay till the writ of error is determined. But if an action be brought on a recognizance of bail, pending a writ of error in the original cause, it is otherwise, for the court in such case will stay proceedings in such cause without the bail giving judgment, for after judgment the bail will be barred from surrendering the principal. *Vide* the next case.

4. In an action on the recognizance against bail, error being brought in the original action, plaintiff was allowed to proceed to judgment, but execution staid till error determined. *T. 9 Geo. 1. Covert v. Allen, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 24.* But afterwards in the case of *Newman v. Buttersworth, Hil. 8 Geo. 2.* proceedings were staid on an action against the bail upon the recognizance, a writ of error being depending in the principal action, for if the plaintiff should obtain judgment, the bail could not surrender the principal.—But if an action be brought against the *principal* on the judgment, the plaintiff may proceed to judgment tho'

tho' a writ of error be depending. *Ibid.* 112. — *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 82. S. C.—1 *Barnes's Notes* 56. S. C. says, The bail ought not to be precluded from surrendering the principal; *ergo* all proceedings staid pending the writ of error.—No action of debt against bail pending a writ of error in the principal action. *E.* 12 *Geo.* 2. *Derisly, an attorney, v. Delande, Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 83.—1 *Barnes's Notes* 83. S. C. 1 *Barnes's Notes* 59. *E.* 8 *Geo.* 2. *Clarke v. Baker, S. P.*

5. *Cur'* held that in an action of debt upon a recognizance, the bail have, 'till the rising of the court on the *appearance-day* of the writ, to render the defendant. *M.* 8 *Geo.* 1. *Wright v. Dingley, Rep and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 23. But cannot afterwards. *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 20. —The surrender must be *sedente curia* on the *quarto die post* of the return of the writ. *T.* 7 & 8 *Geo.* 2. *Mason v. Brute, 1 Barnes's Notes* 56.

6. Plaintiff after a *ca. sa.* returned against the principal filed a bill in an action of debt against *Wall* an attorney, one of the bail first put in (tho' after exception two other bail justified in court) and sued out process against *C. D.* another of the bail, but served it two days only before the return instead of four. On shewing cause why proceedings against *Wall* and *C. D.* should not be staid, *cur'* held that the proceedings by bill against *Wall* was regular, but that the other bail having justified, he was discharged, and ordered his name to be struck out of the bail-piece, and the entry of the recognizance to be amended accordingly, and gave *Wall* his costs, and staid proceedings against *C. D.* because he was not served with the writ
in

in time. *T. 24 & 25 Geo. 2. Wilson and others v. Lafortune, 2 Barnes's Notes 87.*

Within what time the bail may surrender the principal when plaintiff proceeds against them by *scire facias*.] If the plaintiff proceeds by *scire facias* against the bail, then in case of one *scire facias* returned *scire feci*, the bail may surrender the principal before or upon the *appearance-day* of the return of the *scire facias*; and in case of two *scire facias*'s with *nibils* returned, the surrender must be before or upon the *appearance-day* of the return of the second *scire facias sedente curia*. *Vide Rule M. 1654. s. 12.*

N O T E S.

1. *Præcipes* for writs of *scire facias* to be entered in the prothonotary's office on the remembrance. *Rule T. 10 Geo. 2.*

2. Declared to be the opinion of this court, and of the court of K. B. and settled as law, that no render was good unless made before the rising of the court on the appearance-day of the *scire facias* returned *scire feci*, or of the second *scire facias* returned *nihil*, and all arrests made and process served after the rising of the court on the return-day are irregular. *T. 2 & 3 Geo. 2. Vanderish & al' v. Waylet, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 53—Pract. Reg. in C. P. 86.* S. C. says, it was an action of debt on a recognizance of bail, the writ was returnable *quinden. Pas.* on the appearance-day after the rising of the court, the defendant was surrendered at a judge's chambers. *Per tot cur'*: This is a bad surrender, and bail are liable.—In the case of *Bacon v. Bruce, T. 7 & 8 Geo. 2. cur'* held, that

that a render after the rising of the court upon the last day allowed for rendering the defendant was void. See *Rep. and Cas of Pract in C. P.* 53, in a note.—The render must be *sedente curia* on the appearance-day of the return of the second *scire facias*. *Hil. 11 Geo. 2. Hansley v. Page*, 1 *Barnes's Notes* 72.

3. Motion to set aside a *feri facias* against the bail, defendant having surrendered, &c. it appearing by the affidavit that the second *scire facias* was returnable *cro. Mart'* (Nov. 12,) and that defendant surrendered Nov. 10, the appearance-day of the return.

4. There need not be fifteen days between the teste and return of each of the *scire facias's* against bail, but only fifteen days between the teste of the first and the return of the second *scire facias*. *Hil. 8 Geo. 2. Price and Selby v. Lewis and others*, *Rep. and Cas. of Pract in C. P.* 114. *Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 377. S. C.

Concerning a capias ad satisfaciendum against the principal, in order to found proceedings against the bail.

A *CAPIAS ad satisfaciendum* to make bail liable must have fifteen days between the teste and return, and must lie in the sheriff's office four days *exclusive* before the return.

N O T E S.

1. *Ca sa.* against the principal lodged with the sheriff four days inclusive too short. Note; the writ was left with the sheriff *Feb. 6.* returnable *Feb. 9.* and held to be returnable a day

day too soon; and proceedings against the bail staid. *E. 7 Geo. 2. Merret v. Montfort, 1 Barnes's Notes 53.*—*Cur'* held, that in order to charge the bail, a *ca. sa.* against the principal must be left in the sheriff's office four days before it is returnable. *E. 13 Geo. 1. Laycock v. Arthur, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P 34.*

2. If the defendant dies *after* a *ca. sa.* returnable, tho' before either a *scire facias* or an *action of debt* be brought against the bail, they are bound, and are not relievable, for after the *ca. sa.* returned the recognizance is forfeited by law, and all further time allowed for surrendering the principal, is merely *ex gratia*, and where there is a possibility of surrendering the principal, which by his death is become impossible.

3. The recognizance is forfeited immediately after a *ca. sa.* is returned. *Hil. 25 Geo. 2. Whitehead, administrator of Reevely, v. Gale, bail for Stewart, 2 Barnes's Notes 91, 92.*

4. *Ca. sa.* returnable at a time when a writ of error is depending, is not a sufficient foundation to proceed against the bail.

Scire facias against bail, in what county to be brought.

MOTION to set aside a *scire facias* See *Salk. 564,*
 against bail in *London* upon a recogni- 600, 659.
 zance taken in *Serjeants Inn, Fleet Street, Lon-* *Cases in Law*
don, and recorded at *Westminster.* *Cur'* were of *and Eq. 290.*
 opinion that the *scire facias* might issue either
 into *London* or *Middlesex*; but it was said that
 in case of a recognizance taken in *B. R.* the
 entry is *coram domino rege apud Westm'*, and
 therefore must be in *Middlesex* only. *M.*

13 Geo. 1. *Cock v. Green*, *Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 31.

Capias issued into *York*, a *test. capias* into *Middlesex*, and a *scire facias* against bail into *York*, and judgment thereon, and test' execution into *Middlesex*. Motion to set aside the judgment, because the *scire facias* ought to have been where the bail or recognizance is entered on record; and in this case the *scire facias* issued into *York*, whereas it ought to have issued into *Middlesex*, the bail being recorded at *Westminster*. *Sed cur' advisare vult.* E. 2 Geo. 2. *Dalton v. Teasdale*, *Ibid.* 52.

Scire facias against bail may be in *Middlesex*, (record of the recognizance being at *Westminster*) or in the county where the caption of the recognizance appears to be on record, if in any other county except *Middlesex*. Hil. 17 Geo. 2. *in casu Pickering and wife v. Thomson*, bail for *Miller*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 167.

Where the caption of the recognizance is in an another county, and is afterwards inrolled in *Middlesex*, *scire facias* may be in either county; but where the caption appears by the record to be in *Middlesex*, the *scire facias* must be in *Middlesex* also, and not elsewhere. M. 20 Geo. 2. *Follet v. Trill and Bowen*, bail for *Powell*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 74.

Additional cases relating to surrender of principal in discharge of bail, &c.

Render of
Principal, &c.

ON motion it was ordered, that the particular hour of the day on which the defendant surrendered himself in discharge of his bail should be specified by the filazer in the entry of the surrender, in order that it might appear whe-

whether the surrender was made *sedente curia*, or not. *E. 9 Geo. 2. Ling v. Woodyer, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 129.*—*1 Barnes's Notes 62. S. C.*

Render entered in judge's book (on motion) ordered to be struck out, defendant refusing to pay the fees, for it is not a compleat surrender till it be entered on record. *T. 10 Geo. 2. Huckle v. Ambrose, Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P. 131.*—*Pract. Reg. in C. P. 87.* All renders are supposed to be actually made in court, the act of the judge at his chambers is only a warrant to the filazer for making the entry of the surrender upon the roll. It was incumbent upon C. (one of defendant's bail, and who surrendered) to pay the fees. His obtaining this entry in the bail-book without paying the fees, is a fraud and imposition upon the judge and his clerk.—*1 Barnes's Notes 62. S. C.* says, *Price* the tipstaff refusing to take charge of the defendant (the fees not being paid) he went at large, and that *Price* afterwards applied to vacate the surrender, and that C. was ordered to shew cause, and that upon shewing cause the *reddidit se* on the bail-piece was ordered to be struck out. Cites *Farisley 77. 2 Keble 2.*

Tho' plaintiff has lost a trial, yet bail may surrender, where plaintiff proceeds against the sheriff. *1 Barnes's Notes 47.*—*Pract. Reg. in C. P. 85. S. P.*

The defendant was surrendered by his bail to the *King's Bench* instead of the *Fleet*. Bail-bond not to be discharged. *E. 7 Geo. 2. Low v. Ravel, 1 Barnes's Notes 52.*

Motion to set aside *fieri facias* against the bail, defendant having surrendered, &c. It appeared

appeared by the affidavit that the second *scire facias* was returnable *cro. Mart' Nov. 12.* and that defendant surrendered *Nov. 15.* the appearance-day of the return. *Per cur'*: The affidavit is defective, it doth not appear that defendant surrendered *sedente curia* on the appearance-day of the return of the second *scire facias*, which if he does not, the surrender is out of time. No rule. *Hil. 11 Geo. 2. Hansley v. Page, 1 Barnes's Notes 72.*

Principal surrendered, and afterwards was charged in execution by plaintiff. Bail discharged on application. ——— against *Ewer, 1 Barnes's Notes 57.*

Bail cannot surrender the principal before the return of the writ; but it having been done by mistake, he was brought into court by *habeas corpus*, and rendered *de novo M. 15 Geo. 2. Newton v. Lewis, 2 Barnes's Notes 61.*

Husband and wife arrested for a debt due from the wife *dum sola*, bail put in for both, and both rendered to the *Fleet*, the wife discharged on common appearance. *E. 19 Geo 2. Lawford v. Gardiner and his wife, 2 Barnes's Notes 74.*

Defendant cannot render himself, unless bail perfected in time. *E. 25 Geo. 2. Mayo v. Weaver, 2 Barnes's Notes 90.*

Scire facias
against bail.

In *scire facias* against bail, setting forth, *altho' plaintiff recovered judgment* without averring *he did recover*, sufficient.—So tho' recognizance was *at the suit of J. M.* and the judgment was *J. M. the younger*, good on demurrer. *T. 10 & 11 Geo. 2. Matravers the younger v. Adlam and Brown, 1 Barnes Notes 310.*

Two *scire facias's* returned *nihil* against bail, who had rendered the principal after judgment quashed,

quashed, because the *ca. sa.* against principal; and the first *scire facias* were tested on one and the same day, *viz.* 23 October last. *E.* 18 *Geo.* 2. *Wilcox v. Proffer & al'*, 2 *Barnes's Notes* 72.

Leave to enter an *exoneratur* on the recog- Exoneratur.
nizance, defendant pending the action, having become a bankrupt and obtained his certificate allowed and confirmed. *E.* 24 *Geo.* 2. *Ray, and others; v. Hussey*; 2 *Barnes's Notes* 87.
—*N. B.* This had been done in *B. R.*; 'tis a new practice, introduced to discharge the bail in a summary way, without putting them to the trouble and charge of surrendering the principal, as formerly; tho' by the bankrupt act 5 *Geo.* 2. power is given to the judge to order the bankrupt, after such surrender, to be discharged. *Ibid.*

Render not good till bail be perfected. *Vide Gwinnell v. Procter*, this page, *post*.

Plaintiff may at any time after appearance, and before plea, move to quash a *scire facias* without paying of costs. *M.* 8 *Geo.* 2. *Pool v. Broadfield*, *Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 109.—1 *Barnes's Notes* 308. *S. C. Pract. Reg. in C. P.* 378. *S. C.—Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 74. *S. P.—Vide Stat. 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 11.*

Summons to stay proceedings on bail-bond, on suggestion that defendant had surrendered. It appeared that exception was taken to the bail, and that the render was made before justification, so that the same was irregular, and did not warrant the suggestion in the summons, wherefore *cur'* set the same aside. *M.* 4 *Geo.* 2. *Gwinnell v. Procter*, *Rep. and Cas. of Pract. in C. P.* 58.—Render not good till bail be per-
Of staying proceedings against the bail.
 VOL. I. H fected.